	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/812,863	KANAYA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wendy C Haas	1661
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Wendy C Haas.	(3)	
(2) Attorney Cindy Bonner.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 March 2005	Time: <u>n/a</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		•
Claims discussed: The claim		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Substance of interview of record in the attached Examiner's American Substance of Interview of Proceedings of the		B DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Ur Han-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)